

CEE-BP Call 7/02/2019 Call Notes

Participants: Linda Akli, Marques Bland, Kate Cahill, Rosie Gomez, John Holly, Susan Mehringer

Agenda:

1. Panel Report Responses -

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1MwEm81P4IpxQJamsHcQThnV2H9B9dTzFOFaS2QtoJ04/edit>

- a. 2.1 *Initiatives to improve diversity and inclusion should be more carefully supported by assessment data, from which continuous improvement can be driven.* Made some edits as discussed during the call. Rosie will review, make edits, and pass back to Linda.
- b. 2.2 *Better articulate the connection between scientific impact, workforce development, and contributions made by the XSEDE 2.0 project.* Probably fits within WFD, but Jennifer is away for the next couple of weeks. Anyone willing to take a pass and provide Kelly with some text or bullets is encouraged to do so.
- c. 2.3 *CEE should clearly articulate what the overall impact of XSEDE 2.0 is on the computational science workforce.* This is challenging since computational science is multi-disciplinary and department of labor categories/job titles would be difficult to assign. Don't think this is a question about the size of our user population in raw numbers because those metrics are in the IPR, Annual Report and Presentations. Not sure what is meant by impact. Related to the ROI discussion presented by Craig where it was stated that the economic impact of XSEDE WFD is complex and isn't part of his ROI calculations.
- d. 2.4 *development of the pipeline towards competency in computational science. Presenting only numbers of sustained student users (particularly women and URMs) does not make a persuasive case. For instance, how many students (particularly those in XSEDE 2.0 student programs) graduating in computational science and related fields are impacted by XSEDE 2.0.* Rosie suggested looking at the national clearinghouse data to get enrollment and completion data on the students by name and follow up on the using LinkedIn and other professional sites to obtain employment information. Both would have to be used as part of a longitudinal study, which have already been proposed but waiting on funding. Linda added the bullets to the document.
- e. 2.5 *CEE should consider more strategic responses to survey outcomes and other available data to focus and coordinate investments for underrepresented communities in computational science more effectively.* Reviewed the text Linda drafted and made a few changes. Rosie will review, make edits, and pass the baton back to Linda.
- f. 6.3 *RAS Connection between the engagement/diversity efforts and ensuring those users become new users of HPC resources.* This was in the RAS section and Dave asked for assistance. Linda and Susan responded. Consider this one done.
- g. 7.12 *Program Office Outreach Events* – Linda added information on how CEE-BP tracks and targets domain science conferences to a section assigned to Hannah.

2. PEARC19 Updates – Travel arrangements for students being completed. First webinar to be held tomorrow. Will be reported on in more detail on the ACSC/C4C Committee call next week.
3. SC19/C4C Updates – Review of applicants completed. Rosie said the pool was excellent. Will be reported on in more detail on the ACSC/C4C Committee call next week.
4. Conference Exhibiting
 - a. HBCU Week Conference – scrapped since we were not approved for a table and it conflicts with the XSEDE Quarterly Staff meeting being held in Chicago.
 - b. Tapia Preparation – Reviewed ad and all agreed the image was not appropriate. Linda requested a revision which was provided immediately after the call and approved it. The original ad was a polar exploration picture. The revised ad is a African American female scientist.
 - c. GHC19 – no update.
 - d. SACNAS Preparation– Linda and Marques registrations as exhibitors are completed. Linda and Marques should review the program to determine whether they'd like to upgrade to full conference registration for an additional cost of \$150 each. Linda will send Ryan of Chaminade an email (copying Marques and Rosie) to see if there is a student who has participated in ACSC/C4C that would like to attend the conference and help with the table. We will provide registration and cost of local travel to and from the conference each day.
 - e. Materials – Hannah is providing CEE-BP with our own banner. We need to let Hannah know what additional materials we need and she will ship them with the banner to SURA.
 - f. Review of Other Potential Conferences – Reviewed the document we maintain of approximately. Ran out of time to discuss and update the PY9 plan for exhibiting and conference engagements.
5. Campus Visits and Workshops for PY9 – not discussed.
 - a. CSULA
 - b. Trainer Development Plan
 - c. Fall workshops
6. Mailing List Management Update - not discussed